

Allianz Group Sustainability Report

Explanatory Notes for environmental footprint 2021



Explanatory Notes for Reporting Year 2021

Reporting standards
Carbon footprint
Environmental Footprint
Comparability
Carbon neutrality

Reporting standards

Our reporting on environmental data generally follows the GRI Standards of the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI).

For further details, please refer to the GRI index in our Sustainability report. The Group's carbon footprint is oriented towards the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol's Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard. For data compilation, Allianz further applies the standards developed by the Association of Financial Institutions for Environmental Management and Sustainability (Verein für Umweltmanagement und Nachhaltigkeit in Finanzinstitutionen 'VfU') as they are tailored to financial services institutions. Detailed guidance for environmental data compilation is further defined in internal guidelines for environmental reporting.

Organizational boundaries

Allianz defines its organizational boundaries applying the operational control approach as defined in the GHG Protocol. Operational control is established when Allianz or one of its entities has full authority to introduce and implement its operating policies and thus has operational control of the entity. The emissions of all operations over which Allianz has operational control, all owned and leased facilities that the company occupies and vehicles the company operates, are included in the environmental data either based on measurements or

calculations where possible. Where data cannot be determined by measuring or calculating, it is extrapolated based on employee headcount.

Allianz collects environmental data for a significant proportion of the entities over which it has operational control, whereby entities with more than 400 employees are in focus of direct environmental data delivery. In 2021, this resulted in coverage of 96 percent of the total employee base. To achieve 100 percent coverage, the indicators are extrapolated based on Group average figures.

Methodology updates

Continuous improvement

As part of our efforts to continuously improve the quality of our environmental data, we closely follow developments in the GHG Protocol and further develop our systems appropriately. The implications from the GHG Protocol reporting requirements for scope 2 emissions have been analyzed and implemented.

Our emissions data consistently includes upstream emissions e.g. from the production of energy and Well-to-Tank emissions for air, car and train travel. Our emissions data is in CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e).

In 2021, we adjusted our GHG methodology from net to gross emissions reporting, which is also reflected in the GHG targets for Allianz Group operations. Our GHG target continues to reflect a market-based approach for scope 2 i.e. we use the contractual emission factors provided by our suppliers.

The impact of switching from net to gross emissions accounting was assessed for our baseline year and determined immaterial for 2019 (+ 2.6%) and no restatement was performed.

Systems, processes and internal controls for environmental data collection are subject to regular review and continuous development in order to continuously improve overall data quality at both Allianz Group and entity level.

Data coverage updates

Allianz undertakes reasonable efforts to collect relevant environmental data from all its entities and their operations. Within the scope of our environmental reporting boundary are entities that have been part of Allianz for a full reporting year. At Group level, the headcount from entities that do not meet this criteria but for HR purposes are included in the official Group HR figure for a current reporting year are subtracted from the Group HR figure. This results in a total Group headcount figure for environmental reporting purposes, which represents 100%. In 2021, 19 entities were first consolidated in Allianz's financial statements and, combined with further headcount linked to non-core business or 'Non-consolidated but affiliated entities' (NCAEs), a total of 7355 were outside the reporting boundary and excluded as described above

However, in some instances, not all of the required performance data is available given reasonable efforts (for example for small or remote offices). In those instances, data is extrapolated to 100%. Data is extrapolated for either part of an entity or for entire entities. The basis for these extrapolations is the total headcount of the individual entity or of the Group and, for extrapolating.

- Part of an entity, the entity's average values are used
- Entire entities, the Group's average values are used

This enables performance monitoring as well as comparison and benchmarking of entities using comparable system boundaries.



Explanatory Notes for Reporting Year 2021

Reporting standards

Carbon footprint
Environmental Footprint
Comparability

Carbon neutrality

Allianz's environmental monitoring and reporting processes cover two aspects

- 1. Carbon footprint
- 2. Environmental Footprint

1. Carbon footprint

1.1 Carbon footprint by scopes

In line with the relevant reporting standards, Allianz has developed methods to measure and analyze CO_2e , differentiating between the three scopes:

Scope 1 – direct GHG emissions:

emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by Allianz

- Stationary Combustion: gas and oil heating systems, back-up generators. Data is based on meter readings (where available), invoice amounts (where available) and estimations from entities.
- Mobile Combustion: company-owned vehicles.
 Data is based on expenses data (where available) and estimations from entities.

Scope 2 – indirect GHG emissions:

emissions from the consumption of purchased electricity heat or steam.

- Electricity Office and data centers: Data is based on invoice amounts or meter readings (where available) and estimations from entities.
- District heating Office: Data is based on invoice amounts or meter readings (where available) and estimations from entities.

In line with external requirements by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol for accounting of scope 2 emissions, we calculate two scope 2 emissions:

- Market-based approach

This is in line with our existing carbon accounting approach; emissions factors are based on IEA. As the annual preparatory steps for reporting requires conversion factors to be available in the autumn of each year, the preliminary IEA version of these factors is being applied.

Location-based approach

To fulfill the requirements of the additional 'location-based' method for scope 2 reporting, we also calculate and publish scope 2 emissions on the basis of Gridaverage emission factors (national, based on IEA, preliminary version) applied to all scope 2 energy consumed (including 'green electricity'). This approach reflects the current absence of global 'residual mix' factors that can be consistently applied. Please note that associated scope 3 emissions e.g. from Transport & Distribution (T&D) Losses are not considered in this recalculation.

Scope 3 – other indirect GHG emissions:

emissions from other sources, including travel, paper and related upstream emissions.

Business travel data includes employees travelling by air, rail and car only.

- Air travel: business flights are split into short (<500 km) and long-haul flights (>500 km); extrapolation of CO₂e is based on the actual distance travelled and/ or the costs. Emission factors applied for air emissions do not account for radiative forcing due to the very significant scientific uncertainty.
- Train Travel: emissions from train travel are calculated based on the actual distance traveled or the cost multiplied by the appropriate CO₂e conversion factor.
- Road travel: emissions from cars are calculated based on the actual distance traveled or the cost multiplied by the appropriate CO₂e conversion factor.

Business travel data is, where available, based on sources including travel booking information, travel expenditure data, fuel consumption and estimations from entities.

Paper: data is based on invoice amounts (where available) and estimations from entities.

1.2 GHG accounting

We use CO_2 -equivalents (CO_2 e) in our carbon accounting where available, as they are the universal unit of measurement to indicate the global warming potential of each of the six greenhouse gases, expressed in terms of the global warming potential of one unit of carbon dioxide. It is used to evaluate the release (or avoided release) of different greenhouse gases against a common basis. The source of Global warming Potential (GWP) is IPCC 4th Assessment Report (AR-100 year).

Our carbon footprint target is based on gross carbon accounting (market-based approach for scope 2). We use the contractual emission factors provided by our suppliers. The Allianz Group's total reported carbon footprint already considers the compensation activities of some of our subsidiaries.

We use 2019 as our baseline year as it is the first reporting year for which actual, audited data at reasonable assurance level in our Group-wide reporting system is available.

The scope of our data for electricity from renewable sources is electricity from hydro, wind, solar and biomass power plants.



Explanatory Notes for Reporting Year 2021

Reporting standards
Carbon footprint
Environmental Footprint
Comparability
Carbon neutrality

2 Environmental footprint

In line with the relevant reporting standards, Allianz has developed methods to measure and analyze the company's environmental footprint across five indicators.

2.1 Energy use

Energy consumption from sources that are owned or controlled by Allianz, such as heating & cooling and IT equipment, is monitored and reported on the following basis.

a) Electricity

- electricity from hydroelectric power stations
- electricity from wind power stations
- electricity from biomass power stations
- electricity from photovoltaic power stations
- · electricity from average market mix

b) Fossil fuels

- natural gas
- · heating oil
- fuels for emergency power units (petrol, diesel)

c) Other energy

- Renewable heating energy (solar power, bioorganic, etc.)
- · district heating

Energy consumption data is based on invoice amounts or meter readings (where available) and estimations from entities.

Energy use and data centers

The energy consumption of our data centers plays a material part in Allianz's environmental and carbon footprint and was brought into scope of Allianz's non-financial, environmental reporting in 2015.

Whilst actual energy consumption data is collected for larger data centers (generally minimum 1 GWh annual energy demand), the energy consumption of our declining legacy server capacity of local data centers is calculated based on the actual number of servers.

In 2021, the energy demand of local data centers reduced following the on-going decommissioning. Energy use of local data centers that are not managed centrally by AZ Technology are currently reported by Allianz entities as part of building energy consumption. We will continuously seek to improve the quality of that data.

2.2 Business travel

is monitored and reported on the following basis

a) Rail travel

train travel

b) Road travel

- fleet cars
- private cars
- rental cars

c) Air travel

- short-haul air travel (<500 km)
- long-haul air travel (>500 km)

Business travel data is, where available, based on sources including travel booking information, travel expenditure data, fuel consumption and estimations from entities.

2.3 Green commuting

- Commuting is defined as the travel to and from the workplace undertaken by employees of the Operating Entity (OE) within the reporting boundaries and within the reporting period.
- Green commuting measures support low carbon means of travelling to the workplace.
- Each building that supports at least 3 out of 5 green commuting options (at least one measure per option) can claim to have a 'Green commuting plan' in place.
- Plans prioritize walking, using public transport and use of EVs with a range of actions for OEs to implement – from bike leasing to discounted public transport and EV charging stations.
- Each building meeting the requirements of having a green commuting plan shall be identified and the corresponding contracted headcount of each building will be counted towards the final total % share for an OE.

2.4 Paper use

Paper use for internal or external purposes, is monitored and reported on the basis of the following environmental attributes

- a) Paper from certified sustainable sources recycled or virgin fibers
- b) Paper from non-certified sources

Paper data is based on invoice amounts (where available) and estimations from entities.

2.5 Water use

is monitored and reported on the following basis

- a) Rain water
- b) Natural water (please note: this is equal to the GRI term 'unpurified water from surface/ ground water')
- c) Drinking water

Water data is based on meter reading (where available), invoice amounts (where available) and estimations from entities.

2.6 Waste output

is monitored and reported on the following basis

- a) Valuable materials separated and recycled
- b) Waste incinerated
- c) Waste disposed of in landfills
- d) Special waste treatment

Please note: the waste data reported includes 'hazardous waste' as defined on the basis of treatment method (special treatment); the definition and approach to reporting is subject to review as part of our standard Group processes.

Waste data is based on invoice amounts (where available) and estimations from entities.



Explanatory Notes for Reporting Year 2021

Reporting standards
Carbon footprint
Environmental Footprint
Comparability
Carbon neutrality

2.7 Action on Plastic

Plastic for the purpose of 'Action on Plastic' is defined as action on 'single-use plastic' items specifically, the minimum scope of 'single-use plastic' includes: Plastic bags, Plastic cutlery, Plastic plates, Straws, Drink stirrers, Sticks for balloons.

For each building, OE shall report the appropriate level of action plan measures which defined at three levels:

- Level 1: Assessment of baseline usage of single use plastic across our operations
- Level 2: Definition of targets and action plans per operating entity, including allocation of resources and launch of implementation
- Level 3: Progress assessed and reported to the project management sponsor, action plan checked and reviewed within reporting period

Each building meeting the requirements of having an action on plastic level shall be identified and the corresponding contracted headcount of each building will be counted towards the final total % share for an OE.

Comparability

The GHG Protocol requires that, in the case of a structural or methodology change, companies adjust historic inventories if the change has a significant effect on reported emissions. Allianz uses a significance threshold for:

- Structural changes: 5% per indicator category of the current year's total emissions
- Methodological changes: 5% on Group level or 10% on entity level per indicator category of current year's total emissions
- Errors: 5% on Group level or 10% on entity level per indicator category

That is, a structural change that increases or decreases the total inventory by 5% or more, or a methodology change or aggregate errors that increases or decreases the total inventory by 5% or more on a Group level or 10% on an entity level per indicator category, will trigger an adjustment of historic data. A structural change that increases or decreases the total inventory by less than 5% will be considered only going forward.

As such, historic data relevant to the water performance indicator (per employee and absolute) in 2019 were restated, as a result of data quality improvement in 2019. Furthermore, a unit correction of waste per employee as reported in the Group Sustainability report of 2020 has been implemented. Unit is now expressed in kg (was tons).

Carbon neutrality

Allianz became a carbon-neutral business in 2012 Instead of purely buying credits on the carbon market, we invest directly in high-quality carbon projects that generate credits which we can then use to neutralize our remaining carbon footprint. In 2021, 138.746 credits, each accounting for one metric ton of carbon avoided, were retired from our own project.

Data quality

Following the Covid-19 pandemic, the matter of remote working is expected to play a more material role in our operational set-up going forward. In 2021, we evaluated this topic and from 2022, we expect to include GHG emissions from remote & hybrid working in our GHG emissions accounting in reflection of upcoming infrastructure changes.

Beyond this, we will continue to improve and formalize our systems, processes and internal controls for environmental performance reporting on both Group and entity level to continuously improve data quality. As part of our efforts, we seek to include further entities in our data collection and hence increase the scope of data being measured or calculated.